NTID Laboratory Science Technology Program Outcomes Assessment Plan and Report for AY 2011-2012

Program Goal: To provide graduates with laboratory analytical testing knowledge and skills, for entry level positions, with scientific organizations.

Critical Outcomes for all Students			Assessment of Outcomes Timeline			Results	
Domain/Task/ Capability	Performance Criteria/ Benchmarks	Instrument/ Opportunity	Assessment of Performance	Develop	Collect	Summarization of Results	Use of Results
1. General Skills and Professional Competence (Technical) [Eighty percent (80 %)] of all students will understand, use, and document appropriate laboratory skills related to safety, quality control, technical communication, and professional readiness.	a. Students will understand and apply safety regulations and protocols and correctly utilize safety equipment. b. Students will appropriately follow quality control procedures. c. Students will demonstrate effective technical communication of results. d. Students will develop a resume that is accurate, complete, and professional.	Portfolio review. To occur at the end of Laboratory Applications VI course (0879-206).	ad. Score of at least "2" ("acceptable/meets entry level professional standards") on all related items on the Laboratory Science Technology portfolio rating sheet.		Annually in the Laboratory Applications VI (0879-206) or Senior Seminar (0879-250) courses.	skills in the General Skills and Professional Competence sections. c. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Technical Communication-related skills in the General Skills and Professional	The program again met (and exceeded) the benchmark for all items in the General Skills and Professional Competence section. Last year, 100% of the students satisfied the benchmark in each of the four categories in this section. We attributed that success in part to the new electronic system that we implemented for capturing documentation in student portfolios (yielding a more accurate measure of student competencies). We still believe that this system is beneficial in encouraging students to record their competency at the point in the program when it is acquired. The one category (Quality Control) where a single student (n=1) did not satisfy the benchmark was likely still a case of the student not being aware that their activities at the time represented the broad category of Quality Control (and likely just did not think to record the evidence).
2. Instrumentation (Technical) [Eighty percent (80 %)] of all students will produce laboratory reports that demonstrate an understanding of the use of analytical instrumentation including: electroanalytical, spectroscopy, and chromatography instruments.	a. Students will demonstrate an understanding of how to set-up, run, and maintain selected electroanalytical probes/meters. b. Students will demonstrate an understanding of how to set-up, run, and maintain selected molecular spectrophotometers. c. Students will demonstrate an	Portfolio review. To occur at the end of Laboratory Applications VI course (0879-206).	ae. Score of at least "2" ("acceptable/meets entry level professional standards") on all related items on the Laboratory Science Technology portfolio rating sheet.		Annually in the Laboratory Applications VI (0879- 206) or Senior Seminar (0879- 250) courses.	evaluated in academic year 2011-2012. a. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Probe/Meter-related skills in the	Since the program's inception, students have performed very well in skills related to Instrumental Analysis. We believe that this is a strength of the program and are pleased that students appear to be performing so well in the field of instrumental analysis; as the settingup, running, and maintaining of

	understanding of how to set-up, run, and maintain selected atomic spectrophotometers. d. Students will demonstrate an understanding of how to set-up, run, and maintain High Performance Liquid Chromatographers. e. Students will demonstrate an understanding of how to set-up, run, and maintain Gas Chromatographers/Gas Chromatographer – Mass Spectrometers.				b. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Molecular Spectrophotometer- related skills in the Instrumental Analysis section. c. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Atomic Spectrophotometer- related skills in the Instrumental Analysis section. d. 100% of students performed at or above	analytical instrumentation is one of the primary expectations of the workplace. The Instrumental Analysis courses will continue to a strength and core of the program under the impeding semester system. Competencies/skills have been reorganized for semesters, but none have been lost in the proposed semester- based curriculum.
					the benchmark for Gas Chromatographer- related skills in the Instrumental Analysis section. e. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for HPLC-related skills in the Instrumental Analysis section.	
3. Volumetric and Gravimetric Analysis (Technical) [Eighty percent (80 %)] of all students will produce laboratory reports that demonstrate an understanding of the processes involved in volumetric and gravimetric analyses including: sample preparation, titrations, and gravimetric techniques.	a. Students can perform sample preparation procedures and the corresponding calculations. b. Students can perform gravimetric procedures and the corresponding calculations. c. Students can perform acid/base titrations and the corresponding calculations.	Portfolio review. To occur at the end of Laboratory Applications VI course (0879-206).	ac. Score of at least "2" ("acceptable/meets entry level professional standards") on all related items on the Laboratory Science Technology portfolio rating sheet.	AY 2004- 2005	evaluated in academic year 2011-2012. a. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Sample Preparation-related skills in the Volumetric/Gravimetric Analysis section. b. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Acid/Base Titration-related skills in the Volumetric/Gravimetric Analysis section.	Students continue to perform very well in skills related to Volumetric/Gravimetric Analysis. Skills in this category are among the most crucial for individuals working in the field. We will continue to emphasize these skills in coursework so that we maintain this high level of student competence. Volumetric/Gravimetric Analysis has joined the Instrumental Analysis category as a flagship and strength of the program. This is the second year in a row that 100% of the students satisfied the
					c. 100% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Gravimetric-related	benchmark. Again, we feel that our new electronic portfolio capturing system is in part responsible for strong marks- as we have felt that students

						Volumetric/Gravimetric Analysis section.	have typically performed very well in this category (even if past Outcomes Assessment marks were a bit lower).
4. Biological and Microbiological Techniques (Technical) [Eighty percent (80 %)] of all students will produce laboratory reports that demonstrate an understanding of biological and microbiological techniques including: tasks involving sterile technique and the identification/classification/evaluation of microorganisms.	a. Students can identify/classify/evaluate microorganisms. b. Students can prepare media using sterile technique.	Portfolio review. To occur at the end of Laboratory Applications VI course (0879-206).	ab. Score of at least "2" ("acceptable/meets entry level professional standards") on all related items on the Laboratory Science Technology portfolio rating sheet.	AY 2004-2005	Annually in the Laboratory Applications VI (0879-206) or Semior Seminar (0879-250) courses.	11 students in the Laboratory Applications VI/Senior Seminar courses were evaluated in academic year 2011-2012. a. 82% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Sterile Technique-related skills in the Biological Techniques section. b. 91% of students performed at or above the benchmark for Identifying Microorganisms-related skills in the Biological Techniques section.	This category has posed some concerns for the program in the past. We have made several programmatic changes to remedy weaknesses. And whereas we are very pleased the met the required benchmark for each competency this year, this represents the category where we will continue to place the most effort toward improving. We feel strongly that the modifications to the program under the proposed semester-based system will stimulate further improvement in this area. We have reorganized the Microbiology, Molecular Biology, and Biotechnology competencies. Perhaps the largest change under the propose semester system program mask is the addition of a second course of Fundamentals of Biology. It is anticipated that adding this course of foundation biology content in the first year of the LST program will improve student performance in the more advanced coursework. This modification to the proposed semester program mask was initiated due to our program's Outcomes Assessment efforts over the past few years.
Co-op Work experience	Having completed a job search process, a student will complete at 10-week co-op work experience.	Assessment will occur prior to graduation by a Co-op supervisor.	80% of the students will successfully complete a 10-week program-related work experience and receive a score of 3 or above (5 point scale) on overall	AY 2004- 2005	Quarterly	For students in the LST program the mean overall job performance rating by co-op supervisors was 4.42 (N=12) during the four quarters 20104-20113.	We have always felt that co-op supervisor satisfaction is one of the best metrics for evaluating the efficacy if a program's curriculum, and have always felt

Job Placement Students will gain entry-level employment in the LST field Students will gain entry-level employment in the LST field NCE Data will be employed in the field. Students will gain entry-level employment in the LST field NCE Data will be employed in the field. Students will gain entry-level employment in the Laboratory Science Technology program was seeking employment and that student was employed. 20 graduates were continuing in school. graduate, but are continuing in school. which was employed in the field. The LST program experienced as its inception-wonst student was employed. 20 graduates were continuing in school. graduate, but are continuing the experienced as its inception-wonst student was employed. 20 graduates were continuing in school. graduate, but are continuing the experienced as its inception-wonst students was experienced as sits inception-wonst students was experienced as its inception-wonst students was experienced as sits inception-wonst students was experienced as its inception-wonst students was experienced as sits inception-wonst students was experienced as s				Co-op performance.			that our students are very well trained in practical applications of Laboratory Science and prepared to contribute the host lab with minimal training while on co-op. In the past we have reported a desire to increase the return rate of supervisors who respond to surveys. We are happy to report that we have continued to experience improveme in this regard. The program greatly values co-op supervisor satisfaction responsesas the program prides itself on being "industrially driven", preparing students to enter a co-op or permanent job laboratory and begin to contribute to the laboratory's daily operations with minima on the site training. Though we again score very high, because of the value that we place in it, we would like to see the supervisor satisfaction scores ever higher. After reading through supervisor reports, we believe that our changes to the
80 % of graduating students will students will indicate overall Student Satisfaction Students will indicate they 2004-	80 % of graduating	level employment in the LST field Graduating students will	Student	will be employed in the field.	AY	AY 2009-2010 only 1 student from the Laboratory Science Technology program was seeking employment and that student was employed. 20 graduates were continuing in school.	The LST program has experienced a shift since its inception-where most students from the program are not directl taking jobs after graduate, but are continuing their education. We are comfortable with this change, even though it makes this Outcome more challenging to assess. This year really models the shift- as 20 students continued the education, while only one student sought

		п	11			
overall satisfaction with the program and the	satisfaction with program and courses.	Survey	Strongly Agree or More Agree than	2005	Applications VI/Senior Seminar courses	For the second straight year, student satisfaction
courses.	program and courses.		Disagree (4-point		completed surveys in	with the program
			scale) when asked		academic year 2011-	improved. 100% of
			to give an overall		2012 related to student	students showed overall
			rating on two global items, one		satisfaction.	satisfaction with the program (and the vast
			related to the			majority of students
			program in general			further indicated
			and the other related to the			"strong" satisfaction with the program.
			courses in the			with the program.
			major.		100% indicated overall	
					satisfaction with the program.	
					program.	The Instrumental
						Analysis series of
					010/	courses, the Principles
						of Chemistry series of courses, and the
					"I would recommend	Fundamentals of
					the Laboratory Science	Chemistry series of
					Technology Program to	courses again received
					other students." 9% responded that they	overall ratings above average. These series of
					"More Agree than	courses also received
					Disagree" to the same	high scores in years
					question.	prior, and it appears that
						we are doing well to satisfy student in these
						courses. These courses
					100% indicated overall	represent "flagships" of
					satisfaction with the courses in their major.	the program, as well as program strengths. For
					courses in their major.	the second straight year,
						the Chemical
					020/	Technology course
					82% responded "Agree Strongly" to the	joined this elite group of courses registering
					question "I was	above average from the
					satisfied with what I	survey.
					learned in the	
					Laboratory Science Technology program."	
					18% responded that	
					they "More Agree than	Fundamentals of Biology and
					Disagree" to the same question.	Biotechnology both
					question.	received average marks,
						and
						Microbiology/Molecular Biology did show some
						improvement this year.
						Lab Math took a small
						step backwards but still performed average in
						respect to student
						satisfaction.
						The Laboratory
						Applications series of courses received overall
						ratings that were
						average, but the lowest
						in the program. Under
						the proposed semester- based curriculum, the
						skills from the
						Laboratory Applications
						courses will be
						distributed throughout the technical courses. It
						is believed that this
						reorganization will help
						students to see how the intended material relates
						intended material ferales
	••			., !!	···	

							to their core coursework.
Comments:							
/ssl Rev: 10/19/2012							

<u>TOP</u>