## NTID

## Speech-Language and Audiology Outcomes Assessment Plan and Report for AY 2010-2011

Program Goal: To prepare students to communicate effectively in their professional, personal and civic lives.

Critical Outcomes for all Students		Assessment of Outcomes		Timeline		Results	
Domain/Task/ Capability	Performance Criteria/ Benchmarks	Instrument/ Opportunity	Assessment of Performance	Develop	Collect	Summarization of Results	Use of Results
1. Student satisfaction	Students will report a high level of satisfaction with the communication services	Communication Studies and Services (CSS) Department Evaluation of Services	80% of the student ratings will agree with the 20 statements indicating satisfaction	AY 04 - 05	Winter quarters beginning	Aural Rehabilitaion: N=2; criterion was met on 100% of the statements (20/20). Winter 102; n=34; criterion was met on 100% of the statements (20/20)  Aural Rehabilitaion: N=2; criterion met on 100% of the statements (20/20).  Speech-Language: Fall 101, n=36; criterion was met on 100% of the statements (20/20). Winter 102, n=22, criterion met on 90% of the statements (18/20).	Two areas did not meet the expected criteria. The first was that students in the other colleges of RIT stated that they were not aware of the S-L services. It should be noted that the Chair was not able to make his presentation at the SOS meeting last year due to lack of time in the schedule. It's at this meeting where the Chair addresses all B.S. students. That presentation will occur this year. Also all crossregistered students are mailed a CSS flyer informing them of our services as part of the Admissions packet. We will continue to be sure that this occurs.

2. Self perceived benefit	Student will report self-perceived benefits from communication services	CSS Outcomes Assessment Post-Therapy form	80% of the students will agree their communication improved because of the communication instruction service	AY 04 - 05	Quarterly beginning AY 05-06.	Audiology Clinic: Fall 101, n=26, 93% met the criteria. Winter, 102, n=33, 100% met the criteria.  Aural Rehab: N= 9: 78% perceived an improvement in their listening skills. This falls below the 80% criterion.  Speech-Language: Fall 101, Winter 102 & Spring	necessary.
3. Progress toward goals	Students will demonstrate progress toward stated communication goals	CSS Outcomes Assessment Post-Therapy form	80% of the students will be rated by their instructor as showing progress	AY 04 - 05	Quarterly beginning AY 05-06.	the students showed progress towards their stated communication goals.	re Aural Rehab. This was the first year we had an (n) where we could collect data. The Chair wi discuss this with the audiology discipline and monitor throughout th academic year.

4. Pre-post	Students will	Goal specific	80% of the	Identify	Speech-	Audiology Clinic: not	Aural Rehab
4. Pre-post improvement	demonstrate improvement on stated goals using formal measures	pre-post testing	students with a positive prognosis will show improvement on pre-post testing of stated goals	and develop goal specific test measures AY 05-06, and AY 06-07	Language, quarterly beginning AY 06-07.	applicable.  Aural Rehab: N=9: The sample size is too small to detect improvements in word recognition. Data from this year will be combined with that from AY2011.	We will continue to monitor during the 2011-12 academic year.  S-L: Last year it was speculated that the overall drop
						Speech-Language:	related to the fact that more than half of the students
						Articulation focus, n=75,80% improved on Clark Sentences and n=66,97% improved on the Fisher Logemann Test. Further analysis based upon initial Clark Score: unintelligible, n=27, 85% improved on Clark sentences; semi-intelligible, n=24,88% improved;	enrolled in t speech- language therapy were intelligible speakers. Historically this group haless room for improvement. This has been born out by second year data. The Soliscipline we take a look a establishing varying criteria level for studnets
							entering with different intelligible ratings.
						on Clark Sentences and n=35, 91% improved on the Fisher Logemann	This is the second year that we have reporte on pre-post pronunciation voice and prosody test as the n's remain smal
						Voice #1 focus, n=11,63% improved	We will continue to monitor and

					Prosody #1 focus, n=4, 25% improved.	perhaps establish different and more appropriate criterion levels.	
Comments:							
/ssl Rev: 08/31/2011							

## <u>TOP</u>