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Promotion & Tenure Processes [1,2]

Formal mechanisms for the evaluation and retention of faculty. Crucial in maintaining the intellectual excellence, creativity and scholarly reputation of the faculty.

- When processes are biased in subtle, often invisible ways, or when processes fail to provide equal protection and transparency for all faculty, they may result in inequity that serves to maintain the status quo.

- Increased efforts to hire women faculty does not lead to growth in women’s overall representation unless attention is also paid to advancement through ranks.
Bias, often unconscious, limits women’s progress in scientific and engineering fields.

Even people who consciously reject negative stereotypes about women in science can still hold those beliefs at an unconscious level. [3]

Brief video on Impact of Implicit Bias from OSU [4]

Handout:
Questions to Consider during P&T Review Processes:
Understanding How Preferences Influence Decision-Making [5]
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