Group Presentation Scoring Guide			
Group Evaluation			
Criteria		Comments/Points	
Introduction	Group:	comments, romes	
introduction	Engages audience with attention-getter (e.g., asks a question,		
	presents surprising fact, tells a story, makes connection)		
	☐ Establishes credibility		
	☐ Establishes themselves as a cohesive unit		
	Introduces topic and purpose clearly		
	Relates topic and purpose to the audience	/5	
D. J	Introduces thesis and/or previews main points	/ 3	
Body:	Main points are re-identified as they are introduced in the body		
Organization of Main/	Main points and supporting points are ordered logically and coherently		
Supporting	☐ Transitions are used to move between main points, supporting		
Points	points, and speakers	/10	
Body:	Appropriate background information is provided as context		
Knowledge/	Describes project process/methods as appropriate		
Content	☐ Information presented is relevant		
	Evidence supports assertions		
	Support materials are timely, appropriate, and credible		
	Support materials are cited appropriately (if applicable)	/40	
Conducion	Includes critical assignment elements		
Conclusion	Transitions clearly from body to conclusion		
	☐ Summarizes main points/thesis ☐ Reiterates significance, key takeaways, next steps, and/or		
	recommendations		
	☐ Includes intentional, deliberate closing statement that provides	_	
	sense of finality	/5	
Style:	Among group members, language:		
Language	Has appropriate level of formality		
	Is accessible and comprehensible to the target audience		
	(terminology, jargon, acronyms, slang) ☐ Is concise and precise		
	☐ Is accurate		
	☐ Is vivid, memorable, and compelling	/10	
Presentation	☐ Enhance audience understanding and/or appreciation		
Aids	☐ Images/graphics/text can be quickly interpreted		
	Legible, audible, and accessible (appropriate volume, text size, font,		
	typeface, colors; audio is captioned)		
	Images/graphics are good quality and appropriately cropped/placed		
	Correct, free of errors, and appropriately sourced		
	☐ Style is consistent throughout entire presentation ☐ Aids are seamlessly integrated into presentation		
	Aids are seamessly integrated into presentation Aids complement material, not replace or distract	/5	
Group Polish	Group appears prepared and practiced.		
& Cohesion	Group members are engaged throughout presentation and do not		
	distract.		
	☐ Appearance is appropriate for the occasion and audience and is		
	consistent among group members		
	Group members' contributions come together as a cohesive whole		
	□ Delivery style is consistent among group members□ Language style is consistent among group members	/5	
Time	Delivers presentation within determined time limit*		
Management			
agement	Spends appropriate amount of time on introduction, body, and		
	conclusion	/ 5	
	Overall Group Rating	/85	
L			

Group Presentation Scoring Guide: Individual Evaluation			
Presenter Name:			
Criteria		Comments/Points	
Content and Contributions	 Depth of content presented reflects understanding of material Establishes rapport, connects with audience Supports other group members in ways that contribute to group's success 	/5	
Paralanguage	 ☐ Clear pronunciation and articulation* ☐ Appropriate pace/rate (not rushed or drawn out) ☐ Avoids fillers such as "um," "like," "you know" ☐ Strong conversational quality (e.g., minimal reading, varies pitch*, rate, volume*, and intensity) *Does not apply to ASL presenters 	/5	
Gestures and Movement	 □ Consistently uses eye contact and varies gaze among audience members □ Natural and expressive gestures and facial expressions □ Stands and moves deliberately, avoids rocking and swaying □ Avoids fidgeting □ Inconspicuous use of notes □ Interacts with, but does not read from, presentation aids 	/ 5	
Overall Individual Rating		/ 15	
Overall Group Rating		/ 85	
Overall Total Rating		/100	





Group Presentation Rubric

Framing Language

This rubric is intended to guide faculty in scoring a group presentation and allow instructors to score groups both as a unit and for individual student's skills and contributions. The rubric emphasizes that an effective group presentation requires coordination and cohesion from all members. It is recommended that the rubric be shared with students prior to the presentation and can be a helpful teaching tool.

Scoring Guidelines

When calculating students' scores, suggestions for the weight or point distribution are provided as a guideline, however, faculty are encouraged to revise criteria and the associated point distribution as they see fit.

The rubric authors recommend that faculty consider the following when scoring students using ASL

- When a speaker presents in ASL with an interpreter, provide additional time for the speaker to present their ideas.
- Groups should be encouraged to practice with an interpreter ahead of the presentation. At a minimum, a presentation outline should be provided for the interpreter(s) in advance of the presentation.
- When evaluating a presenter using ASL, focus evaluation on the presenter, not the interpreter.



