

Session Goals:

- Introduction to dialogue and it's use in RIT 365
- Practice dialogue in the context of an experiential learning cycle (Plan-Do-Reflect)
- Share opportunities for further learning

Understand whether or not you want to explore and consider more about using dialogue in your classes.

RIT 365 Goals:

- Empower students to own their RIT experience
- Establish student's foundation for the RIT experience

RIT 365 Dialogue Model:

Create an environment that invites students to:

- Discover themselves as experts in their values and experiences
- Explore crossroads and challenges as opportunities for growth
- Acknowledge that understanding the diverse experiences of self and others is essential to forming an inclusive community

Guidelines

- Use "I" statements-speak from your experience
- Value all voices
- Suspend judgement, be curious
- Confidentiality-share the insights not the names
- Take space, make space
- Recognize the impact your words have on others
- Respect multiple views

Dialogue

"dialogue seeks understanding across differences through connected knowing rather than imposition of a singular perspective (as in debate) or serial monologues (as in discussion)."

-Nagda & Maxwell, 2011, p.5

Dialogue

"dialogue seeks understanding across differences through connected knowing rather than imposition of a singular perspective (as in debate) or serial monologues (as in discussion)."

-Nagda & Maxwell, 2011, p.5

Dialogue Guidelines

- Use "I" statements
- Value all voices
- Ask clarifying questions
- Confidentiality- share the "plot" not the characters
- Take space, make space
- Recognize the impact your words have on others
- Utilize "oops" and "ouch" claims
- Respect multiple views

PLAN

: preparing and setting the stage for our experience

Dialogue

"dialogue seeks understanding across differences through connected knowing rather than imposition of a singular perspective (as in debate) or serial monologues (as in discussion)."

-Nagda & Maxwell, 2011, p.5

- In considering this quote about dialogue:
- What do they notice?
- What do you think is meant by connected knowing?

PLAN (con't)

: preparing and setting the stage for our experience

In response to the Debate/Discussion/Dialogue handout:

- What stands out to you?
- What resonates with them?
- What questions do they have?
- What experiences have you had with Debate? Discussion? Dialogue?

COMPARING DEBATE, DISCUSSION AND DIALOGUE*

	DEBATE "Might is right"	DISCUSSION "The noisier, the smarter"	DIALOGUE "Connectivity for community"
PARADIGM FOR COMMUNICATING ACROSS DIFFERENCE	Debate is oppositional: two sides oppose each other and attempt to prove each other wrong. Debate assumes that there is a right answer and that someone has it. In debate, personal experience is secondary to a forceful opinion.	Discussion tends to contribute to the formation of abstract notion of community. In discussion, personal experience and actual content are often seen as separate.	Dialogue is collaborative: two or more sides work together toward common understanding. In dialogue, personal experience is a key avenue for self-awareness and political understanding.
	Debate creates closed-minded attitude, a determination to be right. Individuals are considered to be autonomous and judged on individual intellectual might.	Discussions often assume an "equal playing field" with little or no attention to identity, status and power.	In dialogue (esp. IGD) exploring identities and differences are key elements in both the process and the content of the exchange.
SELF-ORIENTATION	In debate, one submits one's best thinking and defends it against challenge to show that it is right. Debate calls for investing wholeheartedly in one's beliefs. Debate defends assumptions as truth. Debate defends one's own positions as the best solution and excludes other solutions. Debate affirms a participant's own point of view.	Discussions are often conducted with the primary goal of increasing clarity and understanding of the issue with the assumption that we are working with a stable reality. In discussion, individual contributions often center around "rightness" and be valued for it. In discussion, the impact may often be identified and processed individually and outside of the group setting.	In dialogue, one submits one's best thinking, knowing that other peoples' reflections will help improve it rather than destroy it. Dialogue calls for temporarily suspending judgments. Dialogue reveals assumptions and biases for reevaluation. Dialogue causes introspection on one's own position.
OTHER-ORIENTATION	In debate, one listens to the other side in order to find flaws and to counter its arguments. Debate causes critique of the other position. In debate, one searches for glaring differences. In debate, one searches for flaws and weaknesses in the other position.	In discussion, one listens only to be able to insert one's own perspective. Discussion is often serial monologues. Discussion tends to encourage individual sharing, sometimes at the expense of listening to and inquiring about others' perspectives.	In dialogue, one listens to the other side(s) in order to understand, find meaning, and points of connection. Dialogue involves a real concern for the other person and seeks to not alienate but yet speak what is true for oneself. In dialogue, one searches for strengths in the other positions. Dialogue creates an openness to learning from mistakes and biases.
EMOTIONS IN THE PROCESS	Debate involves a countering of the other position without focusing on feelings or relationship and often belittles or deprecates the other person.	In discussion, emotional responses may be present but are seldom named and may be unwelcome. Discussion is centered on content not affect related to content.	In dialogue, emotions help deepen understanding of personal, group and intergroup relationship issues. Dialogue works to uncover confusion, contradictions and paradoxes with an aim to deepen understanding.
END- STATE	In debate, winning is the goal. Debate implies a conclusion.	In discussion, the more perspectives voiced, the better. Discussion can be open or closeended.	Dialogue remains open-ended. In dialogue, finding common ground is the goal.

^{*} compiled and adapted by Ratnesh Nagda, Patricia Gurin, Jaclyn Rodriguez & Kelly Maxwell (2008), based on "Differentiating Dialogue from Discussion" a handout developed by Diana Kardia and Todd Sevig (1997) for the Program on Intergroup Relations, Conflict and Community (IGRC), University of Michigan; and, "Comparing Dialogue and Debate," a paper prepared by Shelley Berman, based on discussions of the Dialogue Group of the Boston Chapter of Educators for Social Responsibility (ESR). Other members included Lucile Burt, Dick Mayo-Smith, Lally Stowell, and Gene Thompson.

: dive into an experience and be willing to take a few risks

Activity

- Send 1 person to grab a picture from the front.
- In your groups, have a DIALOGUE about what you each think is happening in the picture.

REFLECT

: discovering what we learned and skills we want to develop based on the experience

4 Corners

 After seeing/hearing statement move to the corner that reflects your agreement with the statement.

Statements:

- This would easily translate to my content course
- I felt comfortable participating in dialogue
- Students will learn better with dialogue
- Discussion is as effective as dialogue at creating an inclusive environment
- Dialogue gets in the way of effective student learning
- It would be impossible to use dialogue for the topic/content that I teach

DEBRIEF

: understanding the story we are telling ourselves

The learning happens in the debrief.

Debrief Questions

- What are your takeaways?
- How did others influence your learning/reflection?
- Were you surprised by anything?
- What skills that you used will you continue to develop and how?

Where to get more information/training

- Talent Development at RIT (Instructor Led training)
 - Cultural Humility Certificate Series
 - Tools for Building Community
 - **Navigating Conflict**
- **Become an RIT 365 Facilitator**
- Book
 - Facilitating Intergroup Dialogues: Bridging Differences, Catalyzing Change Maxwell, K., Nagda, B.R., & Thompson, M.C. (2011)