

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.</u>

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	Agree	Agree			
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Ŋ		٥	٥
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.		A		۵	_
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that 1 learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	2	_		_	
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.					
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:		0	٥	٥	۵
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:		Ø			

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf Edn. and In el Tech. Resoures - Website	6	6	6
2. Deaf Student's Company Process Keenan	4	5	4
3, Speed Teachon Workshop : Six Fast Sange	5	5	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	(N)	Ä		
2.				
3.				

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops? Many useful informations ochen Dead Students, which will help me in my teaching

13. How could the workshops have been improved? It would be good to present subject methodics - many teachers ask that how?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Strongly



PEPNET 2004 CONFER ENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

Strongly

No

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

•	Agree	Agree	Opinion	Disagree	Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	र्व	a	0		۵
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	ថ	٥	0	o	
Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	5	0	0	0	
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	•			.	ם
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	D				
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:		B	_	0	ם י
7 Overall I thought the PEPNet presentations were:		٦	П	п	П

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Workshop	Organized,	Knowledge	Relevance
_	Presented		
1. C-print captionist training out	Ţ	T	3
SPANISMA cound instructified students		5	4
Tromoting postice outcomes for Deader	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	LU	3
y or pears	red Shull	K	

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.		ď		
2.		□		
3.		ð		٥

12. What did you er	njoy the most a	bout the	PEPNet wo	rkshops?	•			
1	, (a	1	P	 1 .	_

The methods. Murterials that I bearned

an help met working

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

The art making of the peaf

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

·	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.			0		0
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.			0		0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	Ø		0		
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.			٥	_	0
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		Ġ			

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	b			
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:		Þ		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Post secondary Education network, of our	8	6	6
2. Education Intersecting	5	4	4
3. Computer assisted Writing Instruction	3	6	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Post seconday Educator.				
2. Educational Interpreting		9		
3. Conjutes assessted uniting	0	D /		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Sorry but phenay session

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


It's enough

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

can't hit good idea.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback. to the end.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.</u>

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		Ø			
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	0	0		ø	0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	0	ð		0	0
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.		3			
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		3			
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:			Ð	0	_
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			3		
	-				

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf Faulty , College Administrates	2.6	¥	-3
1. Deaf Facility & College Administrates 2. Educational Interpreting ST	4	3	5
3. ⁴			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Deaf Frenty + CAlege Administration			a	
2. Education + CAlege Administration 2. Education			<u>a</u>	
3. (

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

The opportunity to learn about others' experiences.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

Papers could have been better screened.

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

Learning problems of he deep.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

The plenary sessions who excellent!

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	Ø⁄	0	0		0
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	o o		0		_
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	0	a ⁄	0	0	
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	a⁄		0	0	0
Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	œ/				
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:		p			0
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			_Q		0

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf faculty about perspectives	6	7	7
2. Educational Interpreting! Dass	6	1	4
3. (Professioned) Rales & Rune Fraguet of Polis.	6	I	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Rules + Goods ! The Impact of Pales				
2. Deal faculty about classion Perspective	a			
3. Educational Interpreting: Mes student		ø		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Agree	Agree	Opinion	Disagree	Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		X			
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Þ	0	a	
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.			/ 4⁄	а	
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	×				
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	×				
·	•	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:		Ō	12		0
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			Ø	. 🗆	

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop .	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. English Teachers Think Tank	É	5	4
2. Academic Adjustments and Auxiliary Aids	5	6	4
3. Job Search Strategies for a Smooth Tras	zition 5	6	5
from School & Work	,		<u> </u>

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. English Teachers Thinks Tank		•	0	
2. Academic Adjustments and Anxiliary Aids	pr	¥		
3. Job Search Strategies for a Smooth	0			

Transition from School & Work

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

l'enjoyed the sessions esp. about English Teaching

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

I with the workshops make up a book about the keeture made in sessions.

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

What topics should be presented in a future, tollow-up workshop.

English Teaching should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop:

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

ÑD.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

		Strongly	Acres	No	Disagrea	Strongly
_		Agree	Agree	Opinion	Disagree	Disagree
1.	The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.			0		0
2.	The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	to/		_	0	٥
3.	Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	d d	0		0	0
4.	The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	0			_	
5.	Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		<u>a</u>			
						1 11 1
			Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:				4	•	
7.	Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			₫⁄		

ļ.

8. Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind. Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Felucational Interpreteting (Fri Apr. 23)	6	6	6
2. Planning for Success. (Thu. Apr. 22)	5	5	3
3. Utilizing Interpretor (Thu Apr. 22)	Ś	4	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Edu-	d o			
2. Planing		D		
3. Utilizines		ď		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

No opinion.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


I think that they nost develop presentation skill.

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

Mo opinion.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No opinion



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.</u>

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	ъ			а	а
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	0	D		0	0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	⊡	Ø	0	0	0
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	Ø				
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	Ø				
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:			ø		-
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:		M			

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
)1. 3R = Empowerment: Roles, Rights, Respons	4	6	6
2. The NAD-RID National Council on Interpret	riy 6	6	最华
3. Educational Interpretity: Does student -	5	6	6

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, 1 thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. 3R	Ħ			٥
2. The NAD-RID	回			
3. Educational Interpreting		ė		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

The amount of Resources, so many imformation here!

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

part of workshops were a little general not spesific on post secondary edu,

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?


Student's empowerment.

Faculty development

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

In soon happy to attend this pep-net workshops, really love to come next year too!

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	Ø			a .	
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Ø		0	
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	Ø	٥		0	
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	П	Ø		a	
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	Ø		О		
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (in captions) were:	terpreting,	Ø			
				· i	

7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:

8.	Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three
	workshops that come to mind. Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the
	session was <u>organized</u> and <u>presented</u> , (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal
	knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1.			
2.		*	
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.				
2.	0			
3.				

- 12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?
- 13. How could the workshops have been improved?
- 14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?
- 15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.</u>

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		H	Б		
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	0	₽			
Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	4	0			
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	M		0	0	а
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	0	A		_	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (in captions) were:	terpreting,	P		_	_
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were	··	M	0		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Ballroomy 5 April 22 11:00-12:15	6	6	6
2. Ballroom 4 April 22 3:15-4:30	6	6	6
3. Poster sossions Bauman	6	6	6

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
\\\ \frac{1}{4}.	Y	□		
2.	A			
3.	Ġ			

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

- 13. How could the workshops have been improved?
- 14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?
- 15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		酒	0	٥	
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Æ	0	•	. 🗖
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.		O	Ø	٥	
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.		Þ	0		0
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		X	0		
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (into captions) were:	erpreting,		M	0	
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			M		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

	Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1.	Job Search Strates 4 for a Smooth Tran	Aton Good	Good	Good
2.	V.V.)			
3.				

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.		Ĭ		_
2.				. 0
3.				

12	What did	zou eniov	the most	about the	PEPNet	workshops'	9
14.	what are	you onloy	THE HIGST	ayyul mç	TELLINOL	MOTIVATIONS	4

Somie and Instructing Student Who Are Deat

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

NJ Ar

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday</u>.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	S Ø			_	٥
	The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	অ				
3.	Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	S			0	
4.	The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	⊠				
	Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	Ø				-
			Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
	The strategies for supporting communication (intecaptions) were:	erpreting,		Ą		
7.	Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			Ø		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Using poly com Technology to connect Rural Area		\checkmark	
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

		Worksho	р		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. l	using poly	com Technolo	my to	Connect Rural Ax	as \square	Ø		0
2.								
3.							0	

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Yes, I did.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?



14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No suggestions.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday - Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	S	0			
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Ø	0		0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	Ø			_	0
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.		Ø	0	0	
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		Ø			0
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (integrations) were:	erpreting,	Ø	0		. 🗖
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			Ø		

=

8. Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind. Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Using Polycom Technology to Connect Rural Areas 2.	Good	Good	Very
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.		⊴′		
2.				
3.				

12. What did you enjoy the me	ost about the PEPNet workshops?		,
College Choice as	and Deat/hand of hearing	5 tudents:	Underdanding
the propers.	, , , ,		

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

NA

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No

Strongly

No



PEPNET 2004 CONFER ENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

Strongly

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Agree	Agree	Opinion	Disagree	Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		0		. 🗖	
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		×	0	_	
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.			X		
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.		X			0
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		T			
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (integrations) were:	erpreting,	0		а	
7 Overall I thought the DEDNet presentations were			*		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Closed Coppion Depay stified	Good	Good	Very
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, 1 thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	<u> </u>			
2.				
3.				

12.	What o	did you	enjoy	the most	about the	PEPNet	workshops?
		-					n -

Closed Captioned Demystified

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


MA

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No suggestions.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	₫/				
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		□/			0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	D /		0		
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.		0	_		0
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	_		_		
·		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:			- ♥	٥	
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			□/		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Serving Students Who are Hard of Heaving	Good	Fair	Good
2. in Secondary Settings			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.		7		
2.	G			
3.	G			

12.	What did y	ou enjoy th	e most a	about the PEPNet wor	kshops?		
	Caloas	Chorce	and	Deal/Hard of	Hear?	Students:	Understand
	do	21			<i>''</i>	•	J
	the Pro	rcers					

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


NA

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	0	5			0
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.			0	Ø	
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	0		D'	0	0
The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.				₽	
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.					0
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (integrations) were:	erpreting,		卧	-	-
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			0	<u>u</u> /	□

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Dept Faculty r college Admin	7	7	3
2. Educ' Artexpreting	7	1	3
3. (Surving Stodents)			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Day Faculty o College Admin.		Ø		
2. Edice'l Interpretiz		⊿ ′		
3.				

		*
12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?	A	
12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops? Learning some Evential points i to professionals from objected count	listening to the	, sharing

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

vamue is too cold!

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No portioner megestion

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Speakers Repetably will presenchese mesent main francivorse of their presenchese that there are other frameworks that there are other frameworks that there are other frameworks and sour feedback. Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback. There are parspecture



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Thursday – Saturday</u>.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	<u></u>				
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.			0	•	
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	0		0		0
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	(0	_		
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		_		ם	
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:				0	
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			٥		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
2. Tochwer resources Available from N	6	5	6
Oducating interpreting: Das St. Sign	6	6	6
3. Preferences affect comprehension? Oprving &+ Who are Hard-of-Hearigin	6	5	6

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
I. Very Well organized	(T)			
2. I have received so needed knowld	40			
3. Vell organized	6			

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Technology of prescutation and accessibility

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

more heerdoud

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

prore about cognitive abolities of Hard-of-tersity

and deat S1, and their psychology and psychophosical

features

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?



PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.</u>

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.		M			٥
The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.		Ø		0	o
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.		Ø	0		0
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	0	Ø	0		
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.		A			
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:		Ą		0	
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			٥		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Remote Access Interpreting	4	4	6
2. Speech-to-Text Services Network	4	5	5
3. Captions, Captions Everywhere?	4	5	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Remote Access Interpreting	d d		o	
2. Speech - to - Text Services Network		b /		
3. Captions, Captions Everywhere?		b /		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Remote Acress Interpreting.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

I could use some knowhous in the future

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

Remote Acces Interpreting.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Excellent !!

Strongly



PEPNET 2004 CONFER ENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

Strongly

No

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the <u>PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh</u>, Thursday – Saturday.

	Agree	Agree	Opinion	Disagree	Disagree
The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	_	5	0	0	0
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	-			0	0
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	- 0		0		0
The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	٥			_	0
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.			0	_	
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (integrations) were:	erpreting,		d	_	
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:			b /		

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Iam Black Deat	6	6	6
2. Seving Swident who are Hord of Hear	ing 6	6	6
3. Educational interpreting	° 6	حی	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8. Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. I am Black Deat	<u>\</u>			
2. of evering Sudant who are Hondof Ho	rift of		, 🗖	
7. ducational interpreting	ם ס	ÉZ		

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

1.3 いかは 純野食と砂炭を矢のまでやかできれると(その1の是東南の日本の1.55歳) I have learnt many studies and vanois experiences.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14.	. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?
	PEN Inter、のか属各大学ではとりくみを構造がりではく、そりと野達のpiesにコルンはして、それをおくらえるは浴できたらよめった
	atopics についるはして、それをおくられるはななできたらよがった
	Report Class
U	I wish you many offer one chunces for ble tails by to price
	and of allower the mouth summer for

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.

Octivities at lach university / callege at Pen-International