

PEPNet 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Deaf Edu. and In str. Tech. Resources - Website</i> ^{B. Clymer & J. Magley}	6	6	6
2. <i>Deaf Student's Companion Process</i> ^{S. Keenan}	4	5	4
3. <i>Speed Teaching Workshop: Six Fast Steps</i> ^{Low Tech. P.}	5	5	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☒	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☒	☐	☐
3.	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Many useful informations about Deaf Students, which will help me in my teaching.


13. How could the workshops have been improved?

It would be good to present subject methodologies: many teachers ask that: how?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. *Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.* Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. C-print captionist training at	5	5	3
2. ^{ad, science} serving and instructed students	4	5	4
3. ^{who are deaf-blind} promoting positive outcomes for Deafened student	5	4	3

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☒	☐	☐
3.	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

*The methods, materials that I learned
can help my working*

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

~~more to be learned~~ The one making of the beat.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNet 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Postsecondary Education network, of course</i>	6	6	6
2. <i>Education Interpreting</i>	5	6	4
3. <i>Computer Assisted Writing Instruction</i>	5	6	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. <i>Postsecondary Education</i>	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. <i>Educational Interpreting</i>	☐	☒	☐	☐
3. <i>Computer Assisted Writing</i>	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

*Sorry but
plenary session*

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


Its enough

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

can't hit good ideas

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

*Thank you very much for your preparation
from the beginning
Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.
to the end.*



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf Faculty + College Administrators	5	4	3
2. Educational Interpreting ST	4	3	5
3. A			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Deaf Faculty + College Administrators	☐	☐	☒	☐
2. Educational Interpreting	☐	☐	☒	☐
3. A	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

The opportunity to learn about others' experiences.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

Papers could have been better screened.


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

Learning problems of the deaf.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

The plenary sessions were excellent!

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf faculty about perspectives	6	5	5
2. Educational Interpreting: Pros	6	5	4
3. (Professionals) Rules & Goals Impact of Rules	6	5	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Rules + Goals: The Impact of Rules	☐	☒	☐	☐
2. Deaf faculty about classroom perspective	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Educational Interpreting: Pros student sign language	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

I love to learn lot of topic is Deaf faculty about perspective educational Interpreting -

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


ok!

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

ok!

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. *Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.* Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. English Teachers Think Tank	6	5	4
2. Academic Adjustments and Auxiliary Aids...	5	6	4
3. Job Search Strategies for a Smooth Transition from School to Work	5	6	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. English Teachers Think Tank	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Academic Adjustments and Auxiliary Aids for...	☐	☒	☐	☐
3. Job Search Strategies for a Smooth Transition from school to Work	☒	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

I enjoyed the sessions. esp. about English Teaching.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

I wish the workshops make up a book about the lecture made in sessions.


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

English Teaching should be presented in a future follow-up workshop.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☐	☒	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Educational Interpreting - (Fri. Apr. 23)	6	6	6
2. Planning for Success. (Thu. Apr. 22)	5	5	3
3. Utilizing Interpreter (Thu. Apr. 22)	3	4	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Edu -	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Planning -	☐	☒	☐	☐
3. Utilizing -	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

No opinion.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

I think that they most develop presentation skill.


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No opinion.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No opinion.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
①. 3R = Empowerment : Roles, Rights, Respons—	4	6	6
2. The NAD-RID National Council on Interpreting	6	6	6 4
3. Educational Interpreting : Does student—	5	6	6

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. 3R	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The NAD-RID	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Educational Interpreting	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

The amount of Resources, so many information here!

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

part of workshops were a little general not specific on post secondary edu.

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?


Student's empowerment.

Faculty development

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

I'm sooo happy to attend this pep-net workshops. really love to come next year too!!

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. ***Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.*** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents “best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;” and a 1 represents “worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance.”

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1.			
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☐	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐


12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. *Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.* Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Ballroom 5 April 22 11:00-12:15	6	6	6
2. Ballroom 4 April 22 3:15-4:30	6	6	6
3. Poster sessions Bauman	6	6	6

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☒	☐	☐	☐
2.	☒	☐	☐	☐
3.	☒	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?


Information from Admiral Room is fine.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Job Search Strategy for a Smooth Transition	Good	Good	Good
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Serving and instructing students who are deaf

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

No suggestions


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

N/A

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

N/A

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



12

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>using polycom Technology to connect Rural Areas</i>		✓	
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was....?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. <i>using polycom Technology to connect Rural Areas</i>	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Yes, I did.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

NA


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No suggestions.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



13

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES

OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Using Polycom Technology to Connect Rural Areas</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very</i>
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

College Choice and Deaf/hand of hearing students: Understanding the process.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

NA


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



14

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. *Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.* Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Closed Caption Demystified</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very</i>
2.			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was....?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Closed Captioned Demystified

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

NA


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No suggestions.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE

PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Serving Students Who are Hard of Hearing</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>
2. <i>in Secondary Settings</i>			
3.			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	☐	☒	☐	☐
2.	☐	☐	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

College Choice and Deaf/Hard of Hearing Students: Understanding the Process

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

NA


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

No.

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



16

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☐	☒	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. <i>Deaf Faculty & College Admin</i>	5	5	3
2. <i>Educ'l Interpreting ...</i>	5	5	3
3. <i>(Serving Students ...)</i>			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. <i>Deaf Faculty & College Admin ...</i>	☐	☒	☐	☐
2. <i>Educ'l Interpreting</i>	☐	☒	☐	☐
3.	☐	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Learning some essential points; listening to the sharing of professionals from different countries

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


venue is too cold!

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

No particular suggestion

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Speakers hopefully will present main framework of their researches considering that there are other frameworks that
Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback. my home is a different perspective



17

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching," and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Deaf Educating and ins Workshop	6	5	6
2. Technology resources Available from NID	6	6	6
3. Educating interpreting: Does St. sign	6	5	6
4. Preferences affect comprehension?			
5. Serving St. who are Hard-of-Hearing in postsecondary setting			

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was....?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. very well organized	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. I have received so needed knowledge	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. well organized	☒	☐	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Technology of presentation and accessibility

13. How could the workshops have been improved?


more handouts

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

more about cognitive abilities of Hard-of-hearing and deaf St, and their psychology and psychophysical features

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



18

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☒	☐	☐	☐



8. *Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.* Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1. Remote Access Interpreting	4	4	6
2. Speech-to-Text Services Network	4	5	5
3. Captions, Captions Everywhere?	4	5	5

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Remote Access Interpreting	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Speech-to-Text Services Network	☐	☒	☐	☐
3. Captions, Captions Everywhere?	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

Remote Access Interpreting

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

I could use some knowhows in the future.


14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

Remote Access Interpreting.

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

Excellent!!

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.



19

PEPNET 2004 CONFERENCE**PLANNING FOR SUCCESS: INITIATIVES FOR POSITIVE OUTCOMES****OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION****Pittsburgh, PA - April 22-24, 2004**

For each item below, please check the response that best describes your opinion. We only want your opinion about the PEPNet part of your visit to Pittsburgh, Thursday – Saturday.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The PEPNet sessions were a very positive professional development experience for me.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. The PEPNet sessions covered an appropriate number of topics.	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
3. Most of the objectives and outcomes listed in the conference schedule were achieved.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. The methods, materials, and technologies that I learned about this week can be used to teach deaf students in my own program.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Based on the knowledge I have learned from these workshops, I will make some changes in my teaching.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6. The strategies for supporting communication (interpreting, captions) were:	☐	☒	☐	☐
7. Overall, I thought the PEPNet presentations were:	☐	☒	☐	☐



8. **Thinking about the PEPNet Workshops that you attended, please write below the names of the first three workshops that come to mind.** Please rank these workshop sessions three times according to (a) how well the session was organized and presented, (b) the degree to which each session contributed to your own personal knowledge, and (c) the relevance of each session to your own program and teaching needs.

Please rank these workshops using a scale from 1-6 where a 6 represents "best organization/presentation, greatest contribution to your knowledge, or most relevance to your program/teaching;" and a 1 represents "worst organization/presentation, lowest contribution, or least relevance."

	Workshop	(a) Organized, Presented	(b) Knowledge	(c) Relevance
1.	I am Black Deaf	6	6	6
2.	Serving Student who are Hard of Hearing	6	6	6
3.	Educational interpreting	6	5	4

9. Please indicate your overall assessment of each of the workshops you listed above in question 8.
Overall, I thought the presentation was...?

	Workshop	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1.	I am Black Deaf	☒	☐	☐	☐
2.	Serving Student who are Hard of Hearing	☒	☐	☐	☐
3.	Educational interpreting	☐	☒	☐	☐

12. What did you enjoy the most about the PEPNet workshops?

1.3 1.4 は 経験と研究を知らなければならぬこと (その内容は肉体的な経験と研究)
I have learnt many studies and various experiences.

13. How could the workshops have been improved?

14. What topics should be presented in a future, follow-up workshop?

PEP Inter. の所属各大学への呼びかけと概要をやりとり。その他特定
の topics について詳しく。それ以外については議論できたらいいから
Report して欲しい

15. Do you have any other comments or feedback?

discussing
I wish you may offer the chances for details by topics
although it was a good chance to know the summary of

Thank you very much for your participation and your feedback.

Activities at each university / college at Pen-International